POTENTIALS OF FLIES IN THE TRANSMISSION OF Escherichia coli 0157:H7 AND OTHER ENTERIC BACTERIA ASSOCIATED WITH WASTE WATER

Dahiru, M.¹* and O. I. Enabulele²

^{1*}Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, Federal University Kashere, Gombe State, Nigeria
²Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria

E-mail: musahanifa@yahoo.com (**Corresponding Author*)

Abstract: The design was to determine the prevalence of enteroheamorrhagic Escherichia coli 0157:H7 (EHEC) carried by Flies (musca domestica) around waste water irrigation sites of Jakara and Sharada waste water channels in Kano city. Thirty one (31) samples of flies were collected for the analysis, 15 from along Jakara waste water river and 16 from along Sharada waste water river and screened for E. coli 0157:H7 on Sorbitol MacConkey agar supplemented with Cefexim and Potassium tellurite (CT-SMAC) agar and using Latex agglutination test. Other enterobacteriaceae were isolated on McConkey agar and identified by biochemical tests, using Microbact 24E Identification Kit. There was a mean (M) mesophilic bacteria count of 126.07×10^{-4} and standard deviation (SD) of ±122.38 and M = 112.00×10^{-4} , SD = ± 75.72 from Jakara and Sharada river sample sites respectively. Citrobacter spp. had the highest percentage occurrence of 83.87% among the thirteen enterobactericeae species isolated, while Yersinia spp and Providentia spp have the least occurrences of 6.45% and 3.23% respectively. Serologically E. coli 0157:H7 was 70.97% amongst which only Six (27.6%) were biochemically confirmed to be E. coli 0157:H7, Ten (45.5%) were positive for Cellobiose fermentation and Potassium cyanide. There were no significant differences in the prevalence of both enterobacteriaceae and E. coli 0157:H7 between the sites. The study demonstrated the presence of E. coli 0157:H7 in flies found at irrigation site not directly connected to animal farm- yard, and in strong relationship with other enterobacteriaceae.

Keywords: Occurrence, Ctrobacter spp, Klebsiella spp, Jakara, Sharada.

Introduction

Most of the water sources used are waste waters from domestic sewers, which consist of waste Jakara and Sharada river collect and channel this waste water from Laundries, Kitchens, Bathroom (Private and Commercial), Abattoirs and storm water from various out let in Kano municipality. The river is mostly utilized as source of irrigation water. Waste water of this nature contains and can contribute to the spread of potentially pathogenic bacteria within the environment (Chapman *et al.* 1993; Cizek *et al.* 1994; Hancock *et al.* 1994

Received August 12, 2013 * Published October 2, 2013 * www.ijset.net

and Davies and Wray 1997). Gram-negative bacteria within the family Enterobacteriaceae, including *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., *Escherichia* spp., *Yersinia* spp., *Klesiella* spp., *Citrobater* spp and even *E. coli* 0157:H7are of special concern, because of their opportunistic pathogenic nature, in causing disease to humans, domestic animals, and wildlife, Janda and Abbott, (1998).

Therefore bacteria from waste water columns and sediments can be release back into stream when it's disturbed (Sherer *et al.* 19992), thereby giving flies opportunity of carrying and transmitting pathogenic organism to fresh vegetables write from the farm. Pathogens present in animal carcasses or shed in animal wastes may include Rotaviruses, Hepatitis Ebola virus, *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli* O157: H7, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Campylobacter* spp., and *Vibrionaceae* (Sobsey *et al.* 2002). These are mostly normal intestinal flora of Cattles, Sheep, Goats and Birds, and are associated with potentially contaminated environments, such as refuse dumps, sewage treatment facilities, compost manure, dead animal carcasses, agricultural sites, and bird feeders, (Felon, 1985; Casanovas *et al.* 1995; Cezek *et al.* 1994) which is normal habitat of fly.

A number of species of flies have been reported to transmit *E. coli* 0157:H7. Kobayashi *et al.* (1999) studied contamination of flies in an investigation of a nursery-associated *E. coli* 0157:H7 outbreak and reported detection of the agent in fly intestines, excretion by contaminated flies for a 3-day period. Several studies have detected *E. coli* 0157:H7 in flies collected from both dairy and beef cattle production environments Alam and Zurek, 2004; Hancock *et al.* 1998 and Iwasa *et al.* 1999).

Heuvelink *et al.* (1998) also isolated *E. coli* 0157:H7E from stable flies (*Stomoxys calcitrans*) on Dutch dairy farms. Szalansky *et al.* (2004) determined that 0.4–1.3% of pools of flies of two different species (*Musca domestica* and *Hydrotaea aenescens*) on a turkey farm were PCR positive for *E. coli* O157:H7 markers, and Keen and colleagues (2006) demonstrated a 5.2% *E. coli* O157:H7 carriage rate in flies sampled at agricultural fairs. It was also reported flies can disseminate *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination from one spinach plant to another (Tally *et al.* 2009). Janisiewicz and colleagues (1999) similarly noted that fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*) could spread *E. coli* O157:H7 contamination to fresh-cut apple tissue.

Ahmad and colleagues (2007) showed that eight cattle exposed to contaminated flies became colonized with and shed *E. coli* O157:H7, whereas eight other cattle not exposed to the flies remained culture negative. Similarly, most pulsed-field gel electrophoresis patterns of *E. coli* O157:H7 were sometimes indistinguishable in fly and livestock isolates, indicating transfer of

the pathogen (Keen *et al.* 2006), also a Chinese study isolated the bacterium from the intestine of 4 of 113 dung beetles (*Catharsius molossus*) and found that its PFGE pattern and virulence genes were identical to those in ten strains isolated from humans with diarrhea in the same geographic region (Xu *et al.* 2003). The persistence and proliferation of *E. coli* O157:H7 in and on houseflies suggested to Kobayashi *et al.* (1999) that houseflies are more than just mechanical vectors for this pathogen, retention of viable pathogens in the flies' crops for 4 days, adhering to the mouthparts of culture-positive flies, suggesting a biological association.

However, the relationship on the role of house fly in transmission of bacterial pathogens to vegetables on farms is relatively unknown. To date, there has been no systematic assessment of pathogenic bacteria carried by house fly that associate with waste water used for agricultural practices in Kano state. However, isolation of fecal coliform bacteria was reported in United State (US), on routine basis from waters of local creeks and urban streams Cole, (2003). This study was undertaken to determine if house flies pose a threat to on farm vegetables, and therefore playing possible transmission role in the epidemiology of *E. coli* 0157:H7. As such, the primary objective of this study was to assess the prevalence and diversity of enteric bacteria carried by house fly, associating with waste water used for irrigation purposes in Kano state.

Material and Methods

Thirty one samples of flies (*Musca domestica*), 15 were collected along Jakara and 16 along Sharada waste water river by sweep net method and immediately brought to laboratory for analysis. A whole fly is immersed in 10 ml sterile water and serially diluted to 10^{-4} for bacterial mesophlic counts.

McConkey agar was used for isolation of other enterobacteriaceae, by taking 1ml homogenate of whole fly in 10ml sterile water and incubated at 37^oC for 24hrs. Lactose and non lactose fermented colonies with different colonial morphology were sub-cultured on nutrient agar (NA) and a suspension of pure colony from NA plates was emulsified in sterile distilled water, and identified using Microbact 24E test, for Oxidase negative *Enterobacteriaceae*, (Koneman *et al.* 1994; Jay *et al.* 2007; Islam *et al.* 2004)

Escherichia coli 0157:H7: Isolation and identification of *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 was by enrichement on Tripticase soy broth supplemented with 0.5% Sodium thioglycolate for 4hrs at 37⁰C (Dahiru *et al.* 2008; Shin Sata *et al.* 2003), and sub-cultured on Sobitol McConkey

agar containing Cefixime and potassium Tellurite (CT-SMA), incubated for 24hr hours at 37⁰C. CT-SMA non sorbitol fermented colonies, were biochemically screened for growth in Potassium cyanide, Cellobiose fermetation, Motility, Oxidase and with 0157:H7 Latex agglutination Kit (Oxoid) Dahiru *et al.* (2008).

Result

A mean mesophilic count of 126.07×10^{-4} and standard deviation (SD) of ±122.38 was recorded from Jakara river samples and 112.00×10^{-4} with SD of ±75.72 from Sharada river samples. Thirteen species of enterobactericeae and one strain (*E. coli* 0157:H7) were isolated from the two site. Of the species *Citrobacter fruendii* recorded the highest prevalence 77.42% followed by *E. coli* 0157:H7 with 68.75% and *Serratia liquifaciens*, *Salmonella cholera-sius*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *K. rhinoscleromatis* and *Providentia rettgerii*, each having 3.23% (Table 1).

Similarly among the enterobacteriaceae genera isolated from flies was *Citrobacter* spp still recods the highest with (83.87%), consisting of *C. freundi* and *C. diversus*, among the eight genera and thirteen species isolated from *Musca domestica*. *E. coli* 0157:H7 was 68.75%, other *E. coli* species were (32.26%), *Klesiella* spp (9.68%), *Salmonella* spp (12.90%) *S. cholera-sius* and *S. typhi*, *Seratia* spp (9.68%) *S. mescenscens*, *Enterobacter* spp (9.68%) *E. agglomerans*, *Yesinia* spp (6.45%) *Y. enteocoletica*, and lastly *Providentia rettgeri* (3.23%). Only *E. coli*, *Salmonella* and *Citrobacter* species were isolated from both sites and *Citrobacter* was having the highest occurrence of n = 21 from Jakara river (Table 2). There was however no significant difference in the prevalence for Jakara (*M*=4.78, *SD*=7.48) and Sharada [*M* =3.44, *SD*=2.69; *t* (10.047) =.503, *p*=.626]. The magnitude of the differences in the means was very small (*eta squared* = 0.015).

Bacterial	Abundance	Relative
Species	n= 31	Abundance
Escherichia coli 0157:H7	22	70.97
Serratia marcenscens	3	9.68
S. rubidae	2	6.45
S. liquifaciens	1	3.23
Citrobacter freundi	24	77.42

Table: 1: Frequency distribution of abundance and relative abundance of Bacterial species isolated from Flies samples.

C. diverus	3	9.68
Enterobacter agglomerancs	3	9.68
Escherichia. Coli	10	32.26
Salmonella spp	5	16.13
S. cholera-sius	1	3.23
Klebsialla oxytoca	1	3.23
K ozaenae	2	6.45
K. rhinoscleromatis	1	3.23
Providentia rettgerii	1	3.23
Yersinia enterocoltica	2	6.45

Key: n = number of individual.

a. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli

b. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter

c. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter, Klebsiella

d. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter, Klebsiella, Salmonella

e. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter, Klebsiella, Salmonella, Enterobacter

f. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter, Klebsiella, Salmonella, Enterobacter, Seratia

g. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter, Klebsiella, Salmonella, Enterobacter, Seratia, Yersinia

h. Predictors: (Constant), Other Ecoli, Citrobacter, Klebsiella, Salmonella, Enterobacter,

Seratia, Yersinia, Providentia

i. Dependent Variable: E. coli 0157: H7

Figure 1: Multiple regression *E. coli* 0157:H7 and other enterobacterieaceae from flies along waste water river bank.





Table: 2 Prevalence of Enterobacteriaceae in Flies (Musca domestica) collected along Jakara and Sharada rivers in Kano.

Bacterial Isolates	No (%) Isolates from Jakara River	No (%) Isolates from Sharada	Total No. (%) Isolated
	n=15	River n=16	n = 31
<i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7	12(80)	10(62.5)	22(70.97)
<i>Other E. coli</i> spp	8 (53.33)	2 (12.50)	10 (32.26)
Klebsialla spp	0 (00)	3 (18.75)	3 (9.68)
Salmonella spp	2 (13.33)	2 (12.50)	4 (12.90)
Yersinia spp	0 (00)	2 (12.50)	2 (6.45)
Citrobacter spp	21 (140)	5 (31.25)	26 (83.87)
Providentia spp	0 (00)	1 (6.25)	1 (3.23)
Serratia spp	0 (00)	3 (18.75)	3 (9.68)
Enterobacter spp	0 (00)	3 (18.75)	3 (9.68)

Key: n = number sampled, spp = species

Sample	Oxidase	Motility	Cellobiose	Growth Potassium
Number	Test	Test	fermentation.	Cyanide
FS1	+	+	_	_
FS2	+	+	+	_
FS3	+	+	+	+
FS4	+	+	+	+
FS7	+	+	+	-
FS8	+	+	+	_
FS9	+	+	+	_
FS10	+	+	+	+
FS11	+	+	_	_
FS12	+	+	+	+
FS13	+	+	+	+
FS15	+	+	+	_
FS16	+	+	+	+
FS17	+	+	+	+
FS18	+	+	+	+
FS19	+	+	_	_
FS20	+	+	+	+
FS21	+	+	-	-
FS22	+	+	-	+
FS25	+	+	+	+
FS26	+	+	-	_
FS30	+	+	_	_

Table: 3 Distribution of Latex agglutination positive Escherichia coli 0157:H7 Isolated from

 Musca domestica and their profile to some basic biochemical reactions.

Kay: FS = sample number, + = positive, - = Negative.

All the isolates were motile and oxidase positive and mostly grow well in Cellobiose fermentation test. Only 31.82 % fail to grow in cellobiose fermentation and 50% also fail to grow in the presence of potassium cyanide. A large proportion of the isolate 45.5% were positive for both Cellobios and potassium cyanide test, 27.6% were negative for both and only 4.5% was negative for Cellobiose and positive Potassium Cyanide test.

Discussion

The risk of infection can be better predicted by monitoring microbial contamination at points of potential contamination in the field during harvesting, during processing and distribution, or in retail markets (Beuchat and Ryu, 1997).

The common house fly, Musca domestica L., is medically-important insect worldwide. In addition to causing annoyance and myiasis it is forensically-important fly specie, being reported as mechanical carrier and/or reservoir of several pathogens, ie, bacteria, viruses, protozoan cysts and helminth eggs (Sukontason et al. 2007). This has remained to be true to the present day, even in flies not directly connected to human excreta, animal carcasses, garbage, dumping sites, food ruminants and sewages or any other unsanitary, filthy looking environment. It was also reported as one of the potential modes of dissemination of E. coli 0157:H7 in the environment, by associating with human and animal feces and manure Alam and Zurek, (2004). In a research on Association of Escherichia coli O157:H7 with Houseflies on a Cattle Farm, have detected a fecal coliform of (95.4%) from 350 house fly screened, and counts ranging from 3.0×10^1 to 3×10^6 CFU/fly with a mean count of 2.1×10^5 CFU/fly and a median count of 2.4×10^4 CFU/fly and prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 was 2.9 and 1.4% in feed bunks and a cattle feed storage shed respectively. This is per below the count in this work and is not directly connected to cattle farm, but a mean of 126.07×10^{-4} and SD of ± 122.38 and 112.00×10^{-4} , SD of ± 75.72 of flies counts from Jakara and Sharada river sampling sites. This could be related to the degree of contamination of the water source, which contains both domestic and waste water from abbortours from the municipality, not only waste water but deposition of fresh septic tank content (sludge). Nazni et al. (2005) have isolated Bacillus sp., Coccobacillus sp., Staphylococcus sp., Microccus sp., Streptococcus sp., Acinetobacter sp., Enterobacter sp., Proteus sp., Escherichia sp., Klebsiella sp. and yeast cells from feaces, vomitus, external surfaces and internal organs of house fly. This in harmony with our finding of isolating of large number of enterobactriaceae from flies (Table 1) with significant number of pathogenic species (Salmonella spp, Klebsialla spp, Yersinia spp, Citrobacter spp, and Enterobacter spp), and E. coli O157:H7 living in the same ecological niche, which remain a public health risk to vegetables, whose leaves are the resting places for the scavenging flies and the farmers. Sulaiman et al. (2000) isolated eighteen species of bacteria from *M. domestica*, twelve species of bacteria from *M. sorbens*, twelve species from Chrysomya megacephala and five species from Chrysomya rufifacies. Kuzina and colleagues, (2001) also identified a total of 18 bacterial species belonging to the family

Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Vibrionaceae, Micrococcaceae, Deinococcacea, Bacillaceae, and the genus *Listeria*. They found *Enterobacter*, *Providencia*, *Serratia*, and *Staphylococcus* spp. as the most frequently isolated genera. *Bacillus cereus*, *Enterobacter* sakazakii, *Providencia stuartii*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* spp. *pneumoniae* were also identified from *Anastrepha ludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae). This is a large community of microorganisms isolated from same ecological niche with a significant bacterial abundance.

The bacterial abundance (Table 1) also demonstrated a high level of relationship between species of bacteria isolated, and therefore can play important role in the occurrence of *E. coli* O157:H7. Polleya *et al.* (2007) note that Net Biodiversity Effect of mixtures of grasses between perennial grasses and forbs (grass/forbs and grass/grass and forb/forb) is sensitive to effects of species ratios on complementarity. Similarly Kinkel *et al.* (1996) also report that indigenous bacteria enhance the survival of introduced strains, using *Pseudomonas syringae as introduced strain,* this show a positive predictable phenomenon, where the occurrence of particular species of bacteria can influence the growth and survival of another. Lopez-Velasco *et al.* (2011) in a research on the characterization of interaction between with epiphytic bacteria reported a reduction in vitro, between *E. coli* O157:H7 and epihpytic bacterial on spinach leaf surface as opposed to symbiotic relationship.

Hence, a model was calculated to test the occurrence of serologically positive isolates in relation to other enterobacteriaceae isolated from flies. We found good model (r = 516 (51.6%), {F (3, 28) = 3.393}, P= .032) it suggest frequent isolation of *Citrobacter* spp and *Klebsiella* spp as indicator of indicator of the presence of Latex agglutination (for *E. coli* 0157:H7) positive isolates. *Citrobacter* show the highest percentage significance contribution of *B* .492, at P = .016 and *Klebsiella* species *B*. 402, P = .029 (Figure I and II). These indicate the possibility for the occurrence of *E. coli* O157:H7 in particular ecological environment may be seriously influenced by the presence and number of certain enterobacterial species in the community. Since, some strains of *Citrobacter freundii* and *Enterobacter* spp. were reported to produce *Stx2* toxin and contain *stx2* gene with high homology to those found in *E. coli* (Nataro and Kepper, 1998). And interactions with native microbial flora could influence the survival and establishment of immigrant bacteria and their persistence after post-harvest operations (Nataro and Kepper, 1998).

Kobayashi *et al.* (1999) report a number of flie species capable of transmit EHEC O157. Hancock and colleagues (1998) isolated the bacterium from dairy farms and from *Stomoxys* *calcitrans* (stable fly) by Heuvelink *et al.* (1998) on Dutch dairy farms. Iwasa and colleagues (1999) reported five flies positive for cultures of 310 collected from four farms. In this work we report the highest prevalence of 70.97% ever of *E. coli* 0157:H7 in flies as compared with some previous works (Alam and Zurek 2004; Hancock *et al.* 1998 and Kobayashi *et al.* 1999). This result may include latex positive *E. coli* which are not 0157:H7 like *E. hermanii, E. vuneris* and *E. fergusonii*, that are biochemically and serologically similar to *E. coli* 0157 but can be distinguished by cellobiose fermentation and growth in the presence of potassium cyanide (*E. coli* is negative for both, and *E. hermanii* is positive for both) Nataro and Kepper (1998). Talley *et al.* (2009) noted the important role of flies in dissemination of EHEC 0157 by their ability to transmit contamination from one spinach plant to another.

Conclusion

This study have demonstrated a high level of prevalence of Enteroheamohrragic *E. coli* and other entero-pathogenic enterobacteriaceae including the virulent *E. coli* O157:H7 strain, in house fly, scavenging around waste water river used for irrigation purposes. This development is further strengthening various previous findings on the potentiality of fly in the transmission of pathogenic bacteria to un-infected surfaces and bodies. And always biochemical screening should be attached to the isolation and identification of *E. coli* O157:H7 to avoid false positive interpretations, since the occurrences of other enterobactriaceae could influence the presence of latex positive isolates that are not *coli* O157:H7 in a particular ecosystem and isolation on CT-SMAC increased the selectivity and decreased (not inhibit) growth of non-O157 organisms.

References

- Ahmad A, Nagaraja TG, Zurek L. "Transmission of *Escherichia coli*O157:H7 to cattle by house flies", *Prev. Vet. Med.* 80:74-81. 2007.
- [3] Alam M. J and Zurek L, "Association of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 with houseflies on a cattle farm", *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70:7578–7580, 2004.
- [3] Beuchat, L.R., and Ryu, J.H. (1997) Produce handling and processing practices. *Emerg Infect Dis* 3: 459 – 465.
- [4] Casanovas, L., M. de Simon, M.D. Ferrer, J. Arques, and G. Monzon. "Intestinal carriage of *Campylobacters, Salmonellas, Yersinias,* and *Listerias* in pigeons in the city of Barcelona", *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 78: 11-13, 1995.

- [5] Chapman, P.A., C.A. Siddons, D.J. Wright, P. Norman, J. Fox, and E. Crick. "Cattle as a possible source of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 infections in man", *Epidemiology and Infection* 111: 439-447, 1993.
- [6] Cizek, A., I. Literak, K. Hejlicek, F. Treml, and J. Smola. "Salmonella contamination of the environment and its incidence in wild birds", Journal of Veterinary Medicine, Series B 41: 320- 327, 1994.
- [7] Cole, J. Urban streams water quality report for 2003. "Waste water Utilization Program", Environmental Services Department. City of Arcata, California. 2003.
- [8] Dahiru, M., Uraih, N., Enabulele, S.A., and Shamsuddeen , U, (2008). "Prevalence of *E. coli* 0157:H7 in fresh and roasted beef in Kano city, Nigeria, *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Scienes;* 1 (1): 39-42, 2008.
- [9] Davies, R.H., and C. Wray. "Distribution of *Salmonella* contamination in ten animal feedmills", *Veterinary Microbiology*. 51: 159-169, 1997.
- [10] Fenlon, D.R. "Wild birds and silage as reservoirs of *Listeria* in the agricultural Environment", *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 59: 537-543, 1985.
- [11] Hancock, D.D., T.E. Besser, M.L. Kinsel, P.I. Tarr, D.H. Rice, and M.G. Paros. "The prevalence of *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 in dairy and beef cattle in Washington State", *Epidemiology and Infection*. 113: 199-207, 1994.
- [12] Hancock DD, Besser TE, Rice DH, Ebel ED, Herriott DE, and Carpenter LV, "Multiple sources of *Escherichiacoli* O157 in feedlots and dairy farms in the northwestern USA", *Prev. Vet. Med.* 35:11–19, 1998.
- [13] Heuvelink AE, van den Biggelaar FL, Zwartkruis-Nahuis J, Herbes RG, Huyben R, Nagelkerke N, Melchers WJ, Monnens LA, de Boer E. "Occurrence of verocytotoxinproducing *Escherichia coli* O157 on Dutch dairy farms", *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 36:3480-3487, 1998.
- [14] Islam Mahbub., Jannie Morgan., Micheal P.Doyl. Sharad C Phatak., Patricia Millner and Xiuping Jiang. "Fate of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium on Carrots and Radishes Grown in Fields Treated with Contaminated Manure Composts or Irrigation Water", J. Appl. And Envion. Microbial. 70 (4):2497 – 2502, 2004.
- [15] Iwasa M, Makino S, Asakura H, Kobori H, Morimoto Y. "Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from *Musca domestica* (Diptera:Muscidae) at a cattle farm in Japan", *J. Med. Entomol.* 36:108-112, 1999.

- [16] Janda, J.M. and S.L. Abbott. *The Enterobacteria*. Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1998.
- [17] Janisiewicz WJ, Conway WS, Brown MW, Sapers GM, Fratamico P, Buchanan RL. "Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on fresh-cut apple tissue and its potential for transmission by fruit flies", *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 65:1-5, 1999.
- [18] Jay, T. Michele., Michael Cooley., Diana Carychao., Gerald W. Wiscomb., Richard A. Sweitzer., Leta Crawford-Miksza., Jeff A. Farrar., David K. Lau., Janice O'Connell., Anne Millington., Roderick V. Asmundson., Edward R. Atwill, and Robert E. Mandrell. *"Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Feral Swine near Spinach Fields and Cattle, Central California Coast", *Emerging Infectious Diseases*; 13 (12):1908 – 1911, 2007.
- [19] Kuzina, V. Lyudmila., John J. Peloquin., Don C. Vacek and Thomas A. Miller (2001) Isolation and Identification of Bacteria Associated with Adult Laboratory Mexican Fruit Flies, *Anastrepha ludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) *Current Microbiology*; Volume 42, Issue 4, pp 290-294
- [20] Kinkel, L. L., M. Wilson and S. E. Lindow, (1996). Utility of Microcosm Studies for Predicting Phylloplane Bacterium Population Sizes in the Field. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, Vol. 62, (9): 3413–3423
- [21] Kobayashi M, Sasaki T, Saito N, Tamura K, Suzuki K, Watanabe H, and Agui N, "Houseflies: Not simple mechanical vectors of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7", *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 61:625–629, 1999.
- [22] Koneman, W. Elmar., Allen D. S., Janda M. W., Schreckenberger C. P., and Winn, C. J., Washington. *Introduction to Diagnostic Microbiology*. JB Lippincott Company 227 east Washington Square, Philadiphia. USA, 1994.
- [23] Keen JE, Wittum JE, Dunn JR, Bono JL, and Durso LM, "Shiga-toxigenic Escherichia coli O157 in agricultural fair livestock, United States", Emerg. Infect. Dis. 12:780–786, 2006.
- [24] Lopez-Velasco, Gabriela., Heather A. Tydings., Renee R. Boyer., Joseph O. Falkinham III., Monica A. Ponder,"Characterization of interactions between Escherichia coli O157:H7 with epiphytic bacteria in vitro and on spinach leaf surfaces", 2011.
- [25] Nataro, J.P and Kepper J.B. "Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli", J. Clin. Micbiol. Rev. 1998 January; 11(1): 142–201, 1998.

- [26] Nazni, W.A., Seleena, B., Lee, H.L., Jeffery, J.1., T. Rogayah, T.A.R. and Sofian, M.A. (2005). Bacteria fauna from the house fly, *Musca domestica (L.) Tropical Biomedicine* 22(2): 225–231
- [27] Polleya, H. Wayne., Brian J. Wilseyb, Charles R. Tischlera (2007). Species abundances influence the net biodiversity effect in mixtures of two plant species, *Basic and Applied Ecology*; 8, 209 – 218.
- [28] Sherer, B.M., R. J. Miner, J. A. Moore, and J. C. Buckhouse, "Indicator bacterial survival in stream sediments", *Journal of Environmental Quality*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 591–595, 1992.
- [29] Shin Sata, Tomohiko Fujisawa, Ro Osawa, Atsushi Iguchi, Shiro Yamai, and Toshio Shimada (2003). An Improved Enrichment Broth for Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157, with Specific Reference to Starved Cells, from Radish Sprouts. *Appl Environ Microbiol*; 69(3): 1858–1860.
- [30] Sukontason, Kabkaew L., Manasanant Bunchoo., Banyong Khantawa., Somsak Piangjai., Yupha Rongsriyam and Kom Sukontason (2007). Comparison Between *Musca domstica* and *Chrysomya megacephala* as Carrier of Bacteria in Northern Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health; Vol 38 (1): 38-44
- [31] Sulaiman, S., Othman, M.Z. & Aziz, A.H. (2000). Isolation of enteric pathogens from synanthropic flies trapped in downtown Kuala Lumpur. *Journal of Vector Ecology* 25(1): 90-93.
- [32] Sobsey, D., L. A. Khatib, V. R. Hill, E. Alocilja, and S. Pillai, "Pathogens in Animal Wastes and the Impacts of Waste Management Practices on their Survival, Transport, and Fate," White paper for The National Center for Manure & Agricultural Waste Management, 2002, http://www.mwpshq.org/.
- [33] Szalanski AL, Owens CB, Mckay T, and Steelman CD, "Detection of Campylobacter and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from filth flies by polymerase chain reaction", *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 18:241–246, 2004.
- [34] Talley JL, Wayadande AC, Wasala LP, Gerry AC, Fletcher J, DeSilva U, Gilliland SE. "Association of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 with filth flies (Muscidae and Calliphoridae) captured in leafy greens fields and experimental transmission of *E. coli* O157:H7 to spinach leaves by house flies (Diptera:Muscidae)", *J. Food Prot.* 72:1547-1552, 2009.
- [35] Xu JG, Liu QY, Jing HQ, Pang B, Yang JC, Zhao GF, and Li HW, "Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from dung beetles Catharsius molossus", *Microbial Immunol*. 47:45–49, 2003.